|    | ID | ) | Division | Parish /<br>Town<br>Council | Location  | Scheme                     | Problem                 | Justification / Comments  | Requested<br>By                                       | Estimated<br>Cost (£) | Estimated<br>Timescale | RAG   |
|----|----|---|----------|-----------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|-----------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 24 |    | I | LC       | Loughton                    | Pyrles Lane<br>Service Road                               | Speed/traffic reduction    |                         | Scheme validation - Recommend raised speed tables/humps along length of service road (approx. 100m). Allow for 3 x speed humps, full width across road, 25m spacing's. Tables to finish approx. 20mm from kerb face so as to eliminate drainage remedials and to remove the trip hazard to pedestrians. A street lighting column will also need to be erected at each table location. | New request<br>Town<br>Council                        | £30k                  | 12 months              | Green |
| 25 |    | l | LC       | Loughton                    | Church Hill<br>(Northeast of<br>the Uplands),<br>Loughton | Signal controlled crossing | Difficult to cross road | junction. No other suitable location has  | Historic<br>scheme list<br>Supported<br>by Councillor | £150,000              | 9 months               | Red   |

Loughton Central Division Page 1 of 10

|    | ID | Division | Parish /<br>Town<br>Council | Location  | Scheme  | Problem                  | Justification / Comments   | Requested<br>By                | Estimated<br>Cost (£)         | Estimated<br>Timescale | RAG   |
|----|----|----------|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------|-------|
| 26 |    | LC       | Loughton                    | Pyrles Lane,<br>Loughton  | Pedestrian refuge   | Difficulty crossing road | A crossing near the shops would require removal of parking and receive objections. A crossing elsewhere would be away from the desire line so would not be used. Pedestrian flows are high throughout most of the day, traffic flows are low allowing pedestrians to cross in the gaps without using a formal crossing. Recommended no further action. On Strategic network so would require sign off. | Historic<br>scheme list        | £7,500                        | 6 months               | Red   |
| 27 |    | LC       | Loughton                    | Goldings Hill,<br>Loughton                                      | Pedestrian crossing                                       |                          | The pedestrian flow recorded was very low and although traffic levels are high, it is not felt that there is latent demand to cross at this location. It appears that the main reason to cross the road is for the bus stop on either side of the road. It is therefore recommended that no further action be taken with regards to a pedestrian crossing.   | Historic<br>scheme list        | £40,000 for<br>zebra crossing | 9 months               | Red   |
| 28 |    | LC       |                             | Alderton Hall<br>Lane, south of<br>junction with<br>The Lindens | "Jockey Rail" to protect<br>verge from parked<br>vehicles |                          | r dadibility diddy roquirou  | New request<br>Town<br>Council | £1,500<br>feasibility study   | TBC                    | Green |

Loughton Central Division Page 2 of 10

|    | ID | Division | Parish /<br>Town<br>Council | Location     | Scheme                               | Problem                 | Justification / Comments  | Requested<br>By | Estimated<br>Cost (£)                             | Estimated<br>Timescale | RAG   |
|----|----|----------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|-----------------|---|------------------------|-------|
| 29 |    | LC       | Loughton                    |              | Reduction in speed<br>limit to 20mph | outside Davenant school | Scheme validation - recommends new speed survey. Road is a priority route so not suitable for traffic calming. Feasibility Study required.                | own Council     | £2000 Speed<br>Survey and<br>Feasibility<br>Study | твс                    | Green |
| 30 |    | LC       | Loughton                    | Staples Road | Kerbing works                        | emergency access to     | Kerbing to prevent vehicles turning in<br>emergency access, will also need<br>drainage works and replacement of two<br>missing wooden bollards on footway | School          | £8,000  | ТВС                    | Green |

Loughton Central Division Page 3 of 10

| ID | Division | Parish /<br>Town<br>Council | Location | Scheme                          | Other issues / comments | Timescale | Requested<br>By | Estimated<br>Cost | RAG |
|----|----------|-----------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------------|-------------------|-----|
|    | BH&LS    |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |
|    | C&LB     |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |
|    | E&TB     |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |
|    | LC       |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |
|    | NW&N     |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |
|    | WA       |                             |          | No schemes currently identified |                         |           |                 |                   |     |

| Division | Parish / Town<br>Council | Location | Type of<br>Scheme | Details of Scheme | Issues / Comments / Reason for Scheme  | Scheme Origination | Approx.<br>Timescale | Estimated<br>Cost | Priority<br>(RAG) |
|----------|--------------------------|----------|-------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------|----------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| BH&LS    |                          |          |                   | No Bus Stop I     | mprovement Scheme currently idnetified |                    |                      |                   |                   |
| E&TB     |                          |          |                   | No Bus Stop I     | mprovement Scheme currently idnetified |                    |                      |                   |                   |
| LC       |                          |          |                   | No Bus Stop I     | mprovement Scheme currently idnetified |                    |                      |                   |                   |
| WA       |                          |          |                   | No Bus Stop I     | mprovement Scheme currently idnetified |                    |                      |                   |                   |

Loughton Central Division Page 5 of 10

|   | Division | Parish /Town<br>Council | Site No | School | Location of patrol | Work required        | Problem    | Origin of request | Total<br>Estimated<br>Costs | Timescale | RAG |
|---|----------|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----|
| 3 | C&LB     |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified |                   |                             |           |     |
| 4 | E&TB     |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified |                   |                             |           |     |
| 5 | LC       |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified |                   |                             |           |     |
| 6 | NW&N     |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified |                   |                             |           |     |
| 7 | O&R      |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified |                   |                             |           |     |
| 8 | WA       |                         |         |        |                    | No schemes currently | identified | -                 |                             |           |     |

| Ref | Division | Parish /<br>Town<br>Council | Location Measure                | Benefit | Budgetary<br>Cost | Mandatory<br>work | RAG |
|-----|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------|-------------------|-----|
| 1   | BH&LS    |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 2   | C&LB     |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 3   | B E&TB   |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 4   | 1 LC     |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 5   | NW&N     |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 6   | O&R      |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |
| 7   | 7 WA     |                             | No schemes currnetly identified |         |                   |                   |     |

| ID | Division | Parish / Town<br>Council | Location | Scheme         | Problem           | Scheme sponsor | Estimated<br>Cost (£) | Timescale | RAG |
|----|----------|--------------------------|----------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------|-----|
| 1  | BH&LS    |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 2  | C&LB     |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 3  | E&TB     |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 4  | LC       |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 5  | NW&N     |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 6  | O&R      |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |
| 7  | WA       |                          |          | No schemes cur | rnetly identified |                |                       |           |     |

| Division | Parish / Town<br>Council | PROW<br>Number | Parish | Status | Scheme | Problem        | Ву             | From      | Timescale | Cost (£) | Notes | RAG |
|----------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|--------|--------|----------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|----------|-------|-----|
| BH&LS    |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |
| C&LB     |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |
| E&TB     |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |
| LC       |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |
| NW&N     |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |
| WA       |                          |                |        |        |        | No PROW schmem | es currently i | dentified |           |          |       |     |

| Ref No. | Division | Parish / Town<br>Council | Location | Proposed Solution                                  | Timescale | Cost (£) | RAG |
|---------|----------|--------------------------|----------|--|-----------|----------|-----|
|         | BH&LS    |                          | ·        | No Casualty Reduction Schemes currnetly identified |           | ·        |     |
|         | LC       |                          |          | No Casualty Reduction Schemes currnetly identified |           |          |     |
|         | WA       |                          |          | No Casualty Reduction Schemes currnetly identified |           |          |     |